arXiv:1406.1892v3 [hep-th] 25 Sep 2014

M5-branes in $\mathbf{AdS}_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$ spacetime

De-Sheng Li[1]*, Zheng-Wen Liu[2]†, Jun-Bao Wu[1,6]‡ and Bin Chen[3,4,5,6]§

[1] *Institute of High Energy Physics, and Theoretical Physics Center for Science Facilities, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19B Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, P. R. China*

[2] *Department of Physics, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, P. R. China*

[3] *Department of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, No. 5 Yiheyuan Rd, Beijing 100871, P.R. China*

[4] *Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, No. 5 Yiheyuan Rd, Beijing 100871, P. R. China*

[5] *Beijing Center for Mathematics and Information Interdisciplinary Sciences,105 W 3rd Ring Rd N, Beijing 100048, P. R. China*

[6] *Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics China, CAS, Beijing 100190, P. R. China*

Abstract

In this paper, we study the M5-brane configurations in $\mathrm{AdS}_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$ spacetime. We consider the configurations with an AdS_2 factor embedding into AdS_4, and manage to construct two solutions, which could be dual to line defects in the boundary gauge theory. Moreover we discuss their BPS nature and find that neither of them is supersymmetric. We show that the M5-brane with an R_t or an AdS_3 factor found previously is half-BPS.

*lidesheng@ihep.ac.cn
†zhengwen@ruc.edu.cn
‡wujb@ihep.ac.cn
§bchen01@pku.edu.cn

1 Introduction

Great progress has been made on understanding the low energy effective action of N M2-branes at the large N limit since the construction of ABJM theory [1], which was in part inspired by [2–6] among other works. ABJM theory is a three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 6$ super-Chern-Simons theory with gauge group $U(N)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$. This theory is dual to M-theory on $AdS_4 \times S^7/Z_k$ or type IIA theory on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$. By solving the ABJM matrix model obtained via supersymmetric localization [7], we finally had a satisfying understanding [8] of the scaling behavior $N^{3/2}$ for the counting of the degrees of freedom for N M2-branes, first obtained through the computations on the gravity side [9]. Many examples of AdS_4/CFT_3 correspondence with less supersymmetries have been studied as well. On the gravity side, the correspondence involves M-theory on $AdS_4 \times Y^7$, with Y^7 being certain manifolds or orbifolds. The dual field theory can be three-dimensional Chern-Simons-matter theory with $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $(2, 3)$ supersymmetries when Y^7 is a weak G_2 (Sasaki-Einstein, 3-Sasaki) manifold (or its orbifold, preserving the same amount of supersymmetries) [10, 11]. The three-dimensional Chern-Simons-matter field theories with $\mathcal{N} = 4, 5, 6$ supersymmetries, corresponding to certain orbifolds Y^7, have also been studied in [1, 12–16]. Among them, the study of M-theory on $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$ [17–22] is of particular interest, because the metric of Sasaki-Einstein manifold $Q^{1,1,1}$ is quite simple.

In M-theory, there are two kinds of nonperturbative objects: M2-brane and M5-brane. Their roles in $\mathrm{AdS}_4/\mathrm{CFT}_3$ correspondence are not completely clear. For example, the dimension reduction of M2-brane to ten dimensions may give us a fundamental string, which could be dual to the Wilson loop in the field theory [23, 24]. However, though the simplest embedding of F-strings inside the dual IIA string theory background $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ is half BPS [25, 26], the field theory construction of the BPS Wilson loop operator is highly nontrivial [25–31]. Less supersymmetric Wilson loops in ABJM theory were studied in [32–35]. General studies on Wilson loops in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super-Chern-Simons theory were performed in [36]. Very recently, the BPS M2-branes in $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$ dual to BPS Wilson loops and vortex loops were studied in [37] based on explicit expressions of Killing spinors. Other types of membranes in $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$ were studied in [38–40].

Besides M2-branes, there are also M5-branes in M-theory. In the context of AdS/CFT correspondence, M5-brane could be dual to the baryonic operator or the defects, including the line defect and the domain wall in the field theory. It may also appear due to the Myers' polarization effect of multiple M2-branes [41–43]. It is not easy to find the M5-brane configuration in curved spacetime because its equations of motion are hard to solve. In the case of $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$, the M5-branes with an $\mathbf{R}_t$ and AdS_3 factor have been studied in [39, 44]. The first M5-brane is dual to a certain baryonic operator, while the second one is dual to the domain wall. An unanswered question on these solutions is whether or not they are supersymmetric. In [45], a certain $D4$-brane in $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ was found as the holographic dual of the supersymmetric domain wall in ABJM theory.

The main topics of this paper is to study M5-brane solutions and their BPS nature in $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$ spacetime. We pay special attention to M5-branes whose worldvolume includes an AdS_2 factor. These M5-branes should be dual to certain one-dimensional

defects in the dual gauge theory, though they may not be dual to the Wilson loop operator or the vortex loop operator [46]. With the projection condition on the Killing spinor in mind, we make two kinds of ansatz which have the potential to be supersymmetric. However, after solving the M5-brane equations of motion and studying the BPS conditions for M5-branes, we find that none of them is BPS. This shows that it is quite hard to find BPS M5-branes with an AdS_2 factor in $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$. Besides, we check the supersymmetries preserved by the previously mentioned M5-brane with an $\mathbf{R}_t$ or AdS_3 factor and find that both of them are half-BPS.

In the next section, we will briefly review M5-brane equations of motion and the projection condition for the supersymmetries preserved by the probe M5-brane. In section 3, we introduce the background fields and the Killing spinors of M-theory on $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$. In section 4, we present two M5-brane solutions whose worldvolumes involve an AdS_2 factor. In section 5, we discuss the supersymmetries preserved by M5-brane with an $\mathbf{R}_t$ or AdS_3 factor. We conclude this paper with some brief discussions. In the appendix, we gather the explicit form of the connection coefficients used in the main text.

2 M5-brane equations of motion

Various proposals and aspects of M5-brane actions have been studied in [47–52] (for a review of M-theory branes, see [53]). In this section we briefly review the covariant equations of motion for M5-branes [47] and the supersymmetric conditions for the probe M5-brane.

The massless bosonic fields of 11-dimensional M-theory include the metric[1]

$$ds_{11}^2 = g_{\underline{mn}} dx^{\underline{m}} dx^{\underline{n}}, \tag{1}$$

and the 4-form field strength

$$H_4 = H_{\underline{m_1} \cdots \underline{m_4}} dx^{\underline{m_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\underline{m_4}}. \tag{2}$$

We also need the target space vielbein $E^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{m}}$ satisfying

$$E^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{m}} E^{\underline{b}}_{\underline{n}} \eta_{\underline{ab}} = g_{\underline{mn}}, \tag{3}$$

and the Hodge dual of H_4 denoted as H_7 whose components are $H_{\underline{m_1} \cdots \underline{m_7}}$.

The probe M5-brane solution is described in terms of the embedding $x^{\underline{m}}(\xi^m)$ and a self-dual 3-form field h_{mnp} on the M5-brane worldvolume. Here $\xi^m, m = 0, \ldots, 5$ are coordinates of the worldvolume. From the embedding, we can define the induced metric

$$g_{mn} = \mathcal{E}^{\underline{a}}_{m} \mathcal{E}^{\underline{b}}_{n} \eta_{\underline{ab}}, \tag{4}$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}^{\underline{a}}_{m} = \partial_m x^{\underline{n}} E^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{n}}. \tag{5}$$

[1]Our notation is as follows: indices from the beginning(middle) of the alphabet refer to frame(coordinate) indices, and the underlined indices refer to target space ones.

Starting with h_{mnp}, which is self-dual with respect to this induced metric, we define the following list of quantities

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_m^{\ n} &= h_{mpq}h^{npq}, && (6)\\
Q &= 1-\frac{2}{3}k_m^{\ n}k_n^{\ m}, && (7)\\
m_p^{\ q} &= \delta_p^q - 2k_p^{\ q}, && (8)\\
H_{mnp} &= 4Q^{-1}(1+2k)_m^{\ q}h_{qnp}, && (9)\\
G^{mn} &= \Big(1+\frac{2}{3}k^2\Big)g^{mn} - 4k^{mn}, && (10)\\
P_{\underline{a}}^{\ \underline{c}} &= \delta_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}} - \mathcal{E}_{\underline{a}}^{m}\mathcal{E}_{m}^{\ \underline{c}}, && (11)\\
Y_{mn} &= \big(4\star\underline{H} - 2(m\star\underline{H} + \star\underline{H}m) + m\star\underline{H}m\big)_{mn}, && (12)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\star\underline{H}^{mn} = \frac{1}{4!\sqrt{-g}}\epsilon^{mnpqrs}\underline{H}_{pqrs}. \tag{13}
$$

The covariant derivative $\nabla_m\mathcal{E}_n^{\underline{c}}$ is defined as

$$
\nabla_m\mathcal{E}_n^{\underline{c}} = \partial_m\mathcal{E}_n^{\underline{c}} - \Gamma^p_{\ mn}\mathcal{E}_p^{\underline{c}} + \mathcal{E}_m^{\underline{a}}\mathcal{E}_n^{\underline{b}}\omega_{\underline{ab}}^{\ \ \underline{c}}, \tag{14}
$$

where $\Gamma^p_{\ mn}$ is the Christoffel symbol with respect to the induced metric on the world-volume and $\omega_{\underline{ab}}^{\ \ \underline{c}}$ is the spin connection of the background spacetime.

After defining these quantities, the equations of motion of an M5-brane include three parts:

- Bianchi identity
$$
dH_3 = -P[H_4], \tag{15}
$$
where $P[H_4]$ is the pull-back of the target space 4-form flux.

- Scalar equation
$$
G^{mn}\nabla_m\mathcal{E}_n^{\underline{c}} = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{-g}}\epsilon^{m_1\cdots m_6}\left(\frac{1}{6!}H^{\underline{a}}_{\ m_1\cdots m_6} + \frac{1}{(3!)^2}H^{\underline{a}}_{\ m_1m_2m_3}H_{m_4m_5m_6}\right)P_{\underline{a}}^{\ \underline{c}}, \tag{16}
$$

- Tensor equation
$$
G^{mn}\nabla_m H_{npq} = Q^{-1}\big(4Y - 2(mY+Ym) + mYm\big)_{pq}. \tag{17}
$$

To study the supersymmetries preserved by the probe M5-brane, we need to solve the kappa symmetry projection condition

$$
\Gamma_{M5}\eta = \eta, \tag{18}
$$

where η is the solution of the Killing spinor equation of the M-theory background

$$
\nabla_{\underline{m}}\eta + \frac{1}{576}\big(3\Gamma_{\underline{npqr}}\Gamma_{\underline{m}} - \Gamma_{\underline{m}}\Gamma_{\underline{npqr}}\big)H^{\underline{npqr}}\eta = 0, \tag{19}
$$

and Γ_{M5} is determined by the embedding of M5-brane and the flux on it [47]

$$\Gamma_{M5} = \frac{1}{6!\sqrt{-g}} \epsilon^{j_1 \cdots j_6} \Big(\Gamma_{<j_1 \cdots j_6>} + 40 \Gamma_{<j_1 j_2 j_3>} h_{j_4 j_5 j_6} \Big). \tag{20}$$

Here g is the determinant of the induced worldvolume metric component, and $h_{j_4 j_5 j_6}$ is the self-dual 3-form on the M5-brane. $\Gamma_{<j_1 \cdots j_n>}$ is defined as

$$\Gamma_{<j_1 \cdots j_n>} = \mathcal{E}_{j_1}^{\underline{a}_1} \cdots \mathcal{E}_{j_n}^{\underline{a}_n} \Gamma_{\underline{a}_1 \cdots \underline{a}_n}, \tag{21}$$

where $\Gamma_{\underline{a}_1 \cdots \underline{a}_n}$ is the antisymmetrized product of the Gamma matrices in the orthonormal frame.

3 Background fields and Killing spinors

The metric on $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= R^2 (ds_4^2 + ds_7^2), && (22)\\
ds_4^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \big(\cosh^2 u (-\cosh^2 \rho dt^2 + d\rho^2) + du^2 + \sinh^2 u d\phi^2 \big), && (23)\\
ds_7^2 &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \big(d\theta_i^2 + \sin^2 \theta_i d\phi_i^2 \big) + \frac{1}{16} \Big(d\psi + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \cos \theta_i d\phi_i \Big)^2, && (24)
\end{aligned}$$

with $\theta_i \in [0, \pi], \phi_i \in [0, 2\pi]\, (i = 1, 2, 3), \psi \in [0, 4\pi]$. The four-form field strength on this background is

$$H_4 = \frac{3R^3}{8} \cosh^2 u \sinh u \cosh \rho dt \wedge d\rho \wedge du \wedge d\phi. \tag{25}$$

The vielbeins of the eleven-dimensional metric are

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{\underline{0}} &= \frac{R}{2} \cosh u \cosh \rho dt, & e^{\underline{1}} &= \frac{R}{2} \cosh u d\rho,\\
e^{\underline{2}} &= \frac{R}{2} du, & e^{\underline{3}} &= \frac{R}{2} \sinh u d\phi,\\
e^{\underline{4}} &= \frac{R}{2\sqrt{2}} d\theta_1, & e^{\underline{5}} &= \frac{R}{2\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta_1 d\phi_1,\\
e^{\underline{6}} &= \frac{R}{2\sqrt{2}} d\theta_2, & e^{\underline{7}} &= \frac{R}{2\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta_2 d\phi_2,\\
e^{\underline{8}} &= \frac{R}{2\sqrt{2}} d\theta_3, & e^{\underline{9}} &= \frac{R}{2\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta_3 d\phi_3,\\
e^{\underline{\sharp}} &= \frac{R}{4} \Big(d\psi + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \cos \theta_i d\phi_i \Big), &&
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

such that H_4 can now be written as

$$H_4 = \frac{6}{R} e^{\underline{0}} \wedge e^{\underline{1}} \wedge e^{\underline{2}} \wedge e^{\underline{3}}. \tag{27}$$

As mentioned in the previous section, the Killing spinors of $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$ satisfy the following equation:

$$\nabla_{\underline{m}}\eta + \frac{1}{576}\big(3\Gamma_{\underline{npqr}}\Gamma_{\underline{m}} - \Gamma_{\underline{m}}\Gamma_{\underline{npqr}}\big)H^{\underline{npqr}}\eta = 0. \tag{28}$$

Our convention about the product of the eleven Γ matrices is

$$\Gamma_{\underline{0123456789\sharp}} = 1. \tag{29}$$

Using the vielbeins given above and the spin connections given in the Appendix, we find that the solution to the above equation is[2]

$$\eta = e^{\frac{u}{2}\Gamma_{\underline{2}}\hat{\Gamma}} e^{\frac{\rho}{2}\Gamma_{\underline{1}}\hat{\Gamma}} e^{\frac{t}{2}\Gamma_{\underline{0}}\hat{\Gamma}} e^{\frac{\phi}{2}\Gamma_{\underline{23}}} e^{-\frac{\psi}{2}\Gamma_{\underline{45}}}\eta_0, \tag{30}$$

where η_0 is independent of all the coordinates and satisfies the projection conditions

$$\Gamma^{\underline{45}}\eta_0 = \Gamma^{\underline{67}}\eta_0 = \Gamma^{\underline{89}}\eta_0, \tag{31}$$

and $\hat{\Gamma}$ is defined as

$$\hat{\Gamma} \equiv \Gamma_{\underline{0123}}. \tag{32}$$

We will also need the metric of AdS_4 in the Poincaré coordinates

$$ds_4^2 = \frac{1}{4}\bigg(\frac{-dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dy^2}{y^2}\bigg). \tag{33}$$

Now the vielbeins in the AdS_4 part are

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\underline{0}} &= \frac{R}{2}\frac{dt}{y}, \qquad e^{\underline{1}} = \frac{R}{2}\frac{dy}{y}, \\ e^{\underline{2}} &= \frac{R}{2}\frac{dx_1}{y}, \quad e^{\underline{3}} = \frac{R}{2}\frac{dx_2}{y}, \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

and the corresponding spin connections are

$$\omega^{\underline{03}} = -\frac{2}{R}e^{\underline{0}}, \quad \omega^{\underline{13}} = -\frac{2}{R}e^{\underline{1}}, \quad \omega^{\underline{23}} = -\frac{2}{R}e^{\underline{2}}. \tag{35}$$

In Poincaré coordinates, the solutions to the Killing spinor equations are

$$\eta = y^{1/2}\eta_+ + y^{-1/2}(\eta_- + x^{\underline{\mu}}\Gamma_{\underline{\mu 3}}\eta_+). \tag{36}$$

Here $\eta_\pm = \exp\big(-\frac{\psi}{2}\Gamma_{\underline{45}}\big)\eta_\pm^0$, and $\eta_\pm^0$ satisfies

$$\Gamma_{\underline{3}}\hat{\Gamma}\eta_\pm^0 = \pm\eta_\pm^0, \quad \Gamma^{\underline{45}}\eta_\pm^0 = \Gamma^{\underline{67}}\eta_\pm^0 = \Gamma^{\underline{89}}\eta_\pm^0. \tag{37}$$

[2]The Killing spinor in a slightly different moving frame was given in [37].The Killing spinors of $Q^{1,1,1}$ were also studied previously in [54,55]. The Killing spinors of AdS_4 were given in this coordinate system in [25].

4 M5-branes dual to line defects

In this section we find two M5-brane solutions dual to line defects in the boundary gauge theory. The first solution has an AdS_2 factor in the AdS_4 part of the background geometry, while the second solution has an $AdS_2 \times S^1$ factor in the AdS_4 part.

4.1 The first solution

For this solution, the topology of the worldvolume of the M5-brane is $AdS_2 \times M^4$ with $AdS_2 \subset AdS_4$ and $M^4 \subset Q^{1,1,1}$. The embedding of this M5-brane is

$$\xi^0 = t, \quad \xi^1 = \rho, \tag{38}$$

$$\xi^2 = \theta_1, \quad \xi^3 = \phi_1, \quad \xi^4 = \theta_2, \quad \xi^5 = \phi_2, \tag{39}$$

with other coordinates fixed.[3] We choose the 3-form h_3 to be zero.

Now the induced metric is

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{s}^2 \;=\; R^2\Bigg(&\frac{1}{4}\cosh^2 u_0(-\cosh^2\rho dt^2 + d\rho^2) + \frac{1}{8}\sum_{i=1}^{2}(d\theta_i^2 + \sin^2\theta_i d\phi_i^2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{16}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\cos\theta_i d\phi_i\Big)^2\Bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

The nonzero components of $\mathcal{E}_m^{\underline{a}}$ are

$$\mathcal{E}_t^{\underline{0}} = \frac{R}{2}\cosh u_0 \cosh\rho, \quad \mathcal{E}_\rho^{\underline{1}} = \frac{R}{2}\cosh u_0, \tag{41}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\theta_1}^{\underline{4}} = \mathcal{E}_{\theta_2}^{\underline{6}} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{8}}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\phi_1}^{\underline{5}} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{8}}\sin\theta_1, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\phi_2}^{\underline{7}} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{8}}\sin\theta_2, \tag{42}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\phi_1}^{\underline{\sharp}} = \frac{R}{4}\cos\theta_1, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\phi_2}^{\underline{\sharp}} = \frac{R}{4}\cos\theta_2. \tag{43}$$

From $h_3 = 0$, it is easy to obtain that $H_3 = 0$ and $G_{mn} = g_{mn}$. Then the Bianchi identity and the tensor equations are satisfied trivially. And after some computations, we find that the scalar equations give the constraint that $u_0 = 0$.

The Killing spinor on the worldvolume of this M5-brane is

$$\eta = e^{\frac{\rho}{2}\Gamma_{\underline{1}}\hat{\Gamma}} e^{\frac{t}{2}\Gamma_{\underline{0}}\hat{\Gamma}} e^{\frac{\phi_0}{2}\Gamma_{\underline{23}}} e^{-\frac{\psi_0}{2}\Gamma_{\underline{45}}}\eta_0. \tag{44}$$

In this case Γ_{M5} is

$$\Gamma_{M5} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2\Gamma_{\underline{014567}} + \sin\theta_1\cos\theta_2\Gamma_{\underline{01456\sharp}} + \cos\theta_1\sin\theta_2\Gamma_{\underline{01467\sharp}}}{\sqrt{\sin^2\theta_1 + \sin^2\theta_2}}. \tag{45}$$

[3] Note, in particular, that u takes a fixed value u_0.

Considering the points in the submanifold $t = \rho = \theta_2 = 0, \theta_1 = \pi/2$ on the worldvolume, $\Gamma_{M5}\eta = \eta$ becomes

$$\Gamma_{\underline{01456\sharp}}\eta_0 = \eta_0. \tag{46}$$

However, this projection condition is not compatible with the projection condition $\Gamma_{\underline{4567}}\eta_0 = -\eta_0$ from the Killing spinor equations. This leads to the conclusion that this M5-brane is not supersymmetric.

4.2 The second solution

The topology of the worldvolume of this M5-brane is $AdS_2 \times S^1 \times M_3$ with $AdS_2 \times S^1 \subset AdS_4$ and $M_3 \subset Q^{1,1,1}$. The embedding is

$$\xi^0 = t, \quad \xi^1 = \rho, \quad \xi^2 = \phi, \tag{47}$$

$$\xi^3 = \theta_1, \quad \xi^4 = \phi_1, \quad \xi^5 = \psi, \tag{48}$$

with other coordinates fixed. The 3-form field h_3 is chosen to be

$$h_3 = a(\xi)\bigg(\frac{R^3}{8}\cosh^2 u_0 \sinh u_0 \cosh\rho dt \wedge d\rho \wedge d\phi - \frac{R^3}{32}\sin\theta_1 d\theta_1 \wedge d\phi_1 \wedge d\psi\bigg), \tag{49}$$

satisfying the condition that $h_3 = *h_3$.

The induced metric is

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{s}^2 \;=\; & R^2\bigg(\frac{1}{4}\cosh^2 u_0(-\cosh^2\rho dt^2 + d\rho^2) + \frac{1}{4}\sinh^2 u_0 d\phi^2 \\ & +\; \frac{1}{8}(d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2\theta_1 d\phi_1^2) + \frac{1}{16}(d\psi + \cos\theta_1 d\phi_1)^2\bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

The nonzero components of $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{a}}$ are

$$\mathcal{E}_{\underline{t}}^{\underline{0}} = \frac{R}{2}\cosh u_0 \cosh\rho, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{\rho}}^{\underline{1}} = \frac{R}{2}\cosh u_0, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{\phi}}^{\underline{3}} = \frac{R}{2}\sinh u_0, \tag{51}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\underline{\theta_1}}^{\underline{4}} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{8}}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{\phi_1}}^{\underline{5}} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{8}}\sin\theta_1, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{\phi_1}}^{\underline{\sharp}} = \frac{R}{4}\cos\theta_1, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{\psi}}^{\underline{\sharp}} = \frac{R}{4}. \tag{52}$$

We list some important quantities for this solution here:

$$k_n^{\ m} = \begin{pmatrix} -2a^2 I_{3\times 3} & 0 \\ 0 & 2a^2 I_{3\times 3} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{53}$$

$$Q = 1 - \frac{2}{3}\mathrm{Tr}k^2 = 1 - 16a^4. \tag{54}$$

The nonzero components of G_{mn} are

$$G_{mn} = (1 + 4a^2)^2 g_{mn}, \tag{55}$$

when $m, n \in \{t, \rho, \phi\}$, and

$$G_{mn} = (1 - 4a^2)^2 g_{mn}, \tag{56}$$

when $m, n \in \{\theta_1, \phi_1, \psi\}$. And

$$H_3 = \frac{aR^3 \cosh^2 u_0 \sinh u_0 \cosh \rho}{2(1 + 4a^2)} dt \wedge d\rho \wedge d\phi - \frac{aR^3 \sin \theta_1}{8(1 - 4a^2)} d\theta_1 \wedge d\phi_1 \wedge d\psi. \tag{57}$$

The Bianchi identity gives

$$dH_3 = 0 \tag{58}$$

which leads to the fact that a is a constant. The tensor equations are automatically satisfied under this condition. By some computations, we find that scalar equations give the following relation between a and u_0:

$$2 \tanh u_0 + \coth u_0 = \frac{12a}{1 + 4a^2}. \tag{59}$$

On the worldvolume of this M5-brane, the Killing spinor reads

$$\eta = e^{\frac{u_0}{2}\Gamma_{\underline{2}\hat{\Gamma}}} e^{\frac{t}{2}\Gamma_{\underline{0}\hat{\Gamma}}} e^{\frac{\phi}{2}\Gamma_{\underline{23}}} e^{-\frac{\psi}{2}\Gamma_{\underline{45}}} \eta_0. \tag{60}$$

And Γ_{M5} now becomes

$$\Gamma_{M5} = \Gamma_{\underline{01345\sharp}} - 2a(\Gamma_{\underline{013}} + \Gamma_{\underline{45\sharp}}). \tag{61}$$

By studying the special cases with $\rho = t = \phi = \psi = 0$ and $\rho = t = \psi = 0$, $\phi = \pi/2$, we find that this M5-brane is non-BPS.

5 Supersymmetric M5-branes

The M5-branes with an AdS_2 factor that we found in the last section are not supersymmetric. In this section, we discuss the BPS nature of two other M5-brane configurations proposed in the literature and find that they each keep half of the supersymmetries.

5.1 M5-brane with an $\mathbf{R}_t$ factor

The M5-brane with an $\mathbf{R}_t$ factor in AdS_4 and with five other directions in $Q^{1,1,1}$ was studied in [39, 44]. Now we show explicitly that this brane configuration satisfies M5-brane equations of motion and preserves half of the supersymmetries of the $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$ background. The embedding of this M5-brane is

$$\xi^0 = t, \quad \xi^1 = \theta_1, \quad \xi^2 = \phi_1, \quad \xi^3 = \theta_2, \quad \xi^4 = \phi_2, \quad \xi^5 = \psi, \tag{62}$$

with $\theta_3, \phi_3, u, \rho, \phi$ fixed on the worldvolume, and the 3-form field h_3 is chosen to be zero. The induced metric on the worldvolume is

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{s}^2 &= R^2 \bigg(-\frac{1}{4} \cosh^2 u \cosh^2 \rho dt^2 + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(d\theta_i^2 + \sin^2 \theta_i d\phi_i^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{16} \bigg(d\psi + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \cos \theta_i d\phi_i \bigg)^2 \bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

The nonzero components of $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{a}}$ are

$$\mathcal{E}_{\underline{t}}^{\underline{0}} = \frac{R}{2}\cosh u \cosh \rho, \tag{64}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\underline{\theta_1}}^{\underline{4}} = \mathcal{E}_{\underline{\theta_2}}^{\underline{6}} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{8}}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{\phi_1}}^{\underline{5}} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{8}}\sin\theta_1, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{\phi_2}}^{\underline{7}} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{8}}\sin\theta_2, \tag{65}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\underline{\phi_1}}^{\underline{\sharp}} = \frac{R}{4}\cos\theta_1, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{\phi_2}}^{\underline{\sharp}} = \frac{R}{4}\cos\theta_2, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{\psi}}^{\underline{\sharp}} = \frac{R}{4}. \tag{66}$$

After some short computations, we obtain

$$Q = 1, \quad H_3 = 0, \quad G_{mn} = g_{mn}. \tag{67}$$

Now the Bianchi identity and the tensor equation are satisfied automatically, and the scalar equations give the constraint that

$$u = \rho = 0. \tag{68}$$

Now we can easily obtain

$$\Gamma_{M5} = \Gamma_{\underline{04567\sharp}}. \tag{69}$$

Using eqs. (30) and (31), we find that the supersymmetric condition

$$\Gamma_{M5}\eta = \eta, \tag{70}$$

is equivalent to the projection condition

$$\Gamma_{\underline{0\sharp}}\eta_0 = -\eta_0. \tag{71}$$

Since this condition is compatible with the projection conditions in Eq. (31), we arrive at the conclusion that this M5-brane is half-BPS.

5.2 M5-brane with an AdS_3 factor

The M5-brane with an AdS_3 factor was studied in [44] and was argued there to be dual to a domain wall in the field theory. Here we show explicitly that this configuration does satisfy the equations of motion for probe M5-brane and, moreover, is half-BPS. We will also make contact with general discussions on BPS M5-branes in $AdS_4 \times Y^7$ background in [56].

Now we use the Poincaré coordinates of AdS_4. The embedding of this M5-brane is

$$\xi^0 = t, \quad \xi^1 = x_1, \quad \xi^2 = y, \quad x_2 = f(y), \tag{72}$$

$$\xi^3 = \theta_1, \quad \xi^4 = \phi_1, \quad \xi^5 = \psi. \tag{73}$$

The 3-form field h_3 is chosen to be

$$h_3 = a(\xi)\left(\frac{R^3\sqrt{1+f'^2}}{8} dy \wedge dt \wedge dx_1 - \frac{R^3 \sin\theta_1}{32} d\theta_1 \wedge d\phi_1 \wedge d\psi\right), \tag{74}$$

satisfying the condition that $h_3 = *h_3$. The topology of the worldvolume of this M5-brane is $AdS_3 \times M_3$. Notice that the M_3 part is the same as the one in subsection 4.2.

The induced metric reads

$$ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{4y^2}\big(-dt^2+dx_1^2+(1+f'^2)dy^2\big)+\frac{R^2}{8}\big(d\theta_1^2+\sin^2\theta_1 d\phi_1^2\big)+\frac{R^2}{16}\big(d\psi+\cos\theta_1 d\phi_1\big)^2. \quad (75)$$

The nonzero components of $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{a}}$ are

$$\mathcal{E}_{\underline{t}}^{\underline{0}} = \frac{R}{2y}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{x_1}}^{\underline{1}} = \frac{R}{2y}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{y}}^{\underline{2}} = \frac{Rf'}{2y}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{y}}^{\underline{3}} = \frac{R}{2y}, \quad (76)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\underline{\theta_1}}^{\underline{4}} = \frac{R}{2\sqrt{2}}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{\phi_1}}^{\underline{5}} = \frac{R\sin\theta_1}{2\sqrt{2}}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{\phi_1}}^{\underline{\sharp}} = \frac{R\cos\theta_1}{4}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\underline{\psi}}^{\underline{\sharp}} = \frac{R}{4}. \quad (77)$$

Now we have

$$k_n{}^m = \begin{pmatrix} -2a^2 I_{3\times 3} & 0 \\ 0 & 2a^2 I_{3\times 3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (78)$$

$$Q = 1 - \frac{2}{3}\mathrm{Tr}k^2 = 1 - 16a^4. \quad (79)$$

The nonzero components of G_{mn} are

$$G_{mn} = (1+4a^2)^2 g_{mn}, \quad (80)$$

when $m, n \in \{t, x_1, y\}$, and

$$G_{mn} = (1-4a^2)^2 g_{mn}, \quad (81)$$

when $m, n \in \{\theta_1, \phi_1, \psi\}$. The nonzero components of $P_{\underline{a}}{}^{\underline{c}}$ are

$$P_{\underline{2}}{}^{\underline{2}} = \frac{1}{1+f'^2}, \quad (82)$$

$$P_{\underline{3}}{}^{\underline{3}} = \frac{f'^2}{1+f'^2}, \quad (83)$$

$$P_{\underline{2}}{}^{\underline{3}} = P_{\underline{3}}{}^{\underline{2}} = -\frac{f'}{1+f'^2}, \quad (84)$$

$$P_{\underline{6}}{}^{\underline{6}} = P_{\underline{7}}{}^{\underline{7}} = P_{\underline{8}}{}^{\underline{8}} = P_{\underline{9}}{}^{\underline{9}} = 1. \quad (85)$$

The 3-form field H_3 is

$$H_3 = \frac{aR^3\sqrt{1+f'^2}}{2y^3(1+4a^2)} dy \wedge dt \wedge dx_1 - \frac{aR^3\sin\theta_1}{8(1-4a^2)} d\theta_1 \wedge d\phi_1 \wedge d\psi. \quad (86)$$

The Bianchi identity implies that a should be a constant. Under this condition, the tensor equations are satisfied and the only non-trivial condition given by the scalar equations is

$$\frac{y}{\sqrt{1+f'^2}}\left(-\frac{3f'}{y} + \frac{f''}{1+f'^2}\right) = \frac{12a}{1+4a^2}. \quad (87)$$

For the special case, $f(y) = \kappa y$ with κ a constant, we get

$$\frac{-\kappa}{\sqrt{1+\kappa^2}} = \frac{4a}{1+4a^2}. \tag{88}$$

When $\kappa = 0$, it gives $a = 0$. When $\kappa \neq 0$, we have

$$a = \frac{\pm 1 - \sqrt{1+\kappa^2}}{2\kappa}. \tag{89}$$

We also notice that when we choose the plus sign in the above equation, the limit of $\kappa \to 0$ gives $a \to 0$. We now discuss the BPS condition in the special case when $f = \kappa y$. Now Γ_{M5} becomes

$$\Gamma_{M5} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\kappa^2}}\big(\kappa\Gamma_{\underline{01245\sharp}} + \Gamma_{\underline{01345\sharp}} - 2a(\kappa\Gamma_{\underline{012}} + \Gamma_{\underline{013}})\big) - 2a\Gamma_{\underline{45\sharp}}. \tag{90}$$

After some computation using Eq. (36) and the projection conditions Eq. (37), we find that $\Gamma_{M5}\eta = \eta$ is equivalent to the projection conditions

$$\Gamma_{\underline{2}}\eta_+ = \mp\eta_+, \quad \Gamma_{\underline{2}}\eta_- = \mp\eta_-. \tag{91}$$

The signs on the right side hand of the above two equations follow the choice of the sign in Eq. (89). Since these projection conditions are compatible with the projection conditions in Eq. (37), this M5-brane solution is half-BPS.

In [56], the M5-brane with worldvolume $AdS_3 \times M_3$ embedded in $AdS_4 \times M_7$ has been shown to be half-BPS provided that M_7 is a weak G_2 manifold and M_3 is an associate submanifold. Consider the three-form

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{32}\left(d\psi + \sum_{i=1}^{3}\cos\theta_i d\phi_i\right) \wedge \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(d\theta_i \wedge \sin\theta_i d\phi_i\right) \tag{92}$$

in $Q^{1,1,1}$, one can easily show that

$$d\Phi = -4 * \Phi \tag{93}$$

and

$$\Phi|_{M_3} = d\,vol_{M_3}. \tag{94}$$

This shows explicitly that $Q^{1,1,1}$ is a weak G_2 manifold, as Sasaki-Einstein manifolds are special cases of G_2 manifolds, and the M_3 used here is in fact an associate submanifold. So our results are consistent with the ones in [56]. We also notice that similar BPS M5-branes with worldvolume $AdS_3 \times S^3$ in $AdS_4 \times S^7$ were studied in [43, 57].

6 Discussions

In this work, we studied some solutions of the complicated M5-brane equations of motion in the M-theory background $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$. For the two M5-brane solutions whose worldvolme has an AdS_2 factor, we found that both of them are non-BPS by studying the projection conditions. Our experiences indicate that there seems to be no BPS M5-branes with such an AdS_2 factor. It would be interesting to establish such general no-go results for $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$ and more general backgrounds with 8 supercharges. It is also interesting to study such M5-branes with an AdS_2 factor in $AdS_4 \times Y^7$, with Y^7 a 3-Sasakian manifold (an M5-brane with an AdS_3 factor in $AdS_4 \times N(1,1)$ was studied in [58]).

The M5-branes with an AdS_3 factor [43, 44, 56–58] are believed to dual to some domain walls in the field theory. It will be interesting to give more concrete description of these domain walls since now we know much more about the dual superconformal field theory. The BPS nature of these M5-branes would allow us to establish the detailed correspondence between the computations in the bulk theory and in the boundary field theory.

Acknowledgments

Z. L. would like to thank Professor Chuan-Jie Zhu for his generous support, guidance and encouragement. He would also like to thank the ICTP for financial support for participating in *'Spring School on Superstring Theory and Related Topics'*. J. W. would like to thank Meng-Qi Zhu for collaboration on related topics and ICTS-USTC for warm hospitality during a recent visit. This work was supported in part by NSFC Grants No. 11275010(B. C.), No. 11335012(B. C.), No. 11325522(B. C.), No. 11105154(D. L. and J. W.), No. 11222549(D. L. and J. W.) and No. 11135006(Z. L.). J. W. gratefully acknowledges the support of K. C. Wong Education Foundation and Youth Innovation Promotion Association of CAS.

Appendix: Connection coefficients

The spin connections with respect to the vielbeins (26) are

$$\omega^{\underline{01}} = \frac{2}{R}\frac{\tanh\rho}{\cosh u}e^{\underline{0}}, \qquad \omega^{\underline{02}} = \frac{2}{R}\tanh u e^{\underline{0}}, \tag{95}$$

$$\omega^{\underline{12}} = \frac{2}{R}\tanh u e^{\underline{1}}, \qquad \omega^{\underline{23}} = -\frac{2}{R}\coth u e^{\underline{3}}, \tag{96}$$

$$\omega^{\underline{45}} = \frac{1}{R}(-2\sqrt{2}\cot\theta_1 e^{\underline{5}} + e^{\underline{\sharp}}), \qquad \omega^{\underline{67}} = \frac{1}{R}(-2\sqrt{2}\cot\theta_2 e^{\underline{7}} + e^{\underline{\sharp}}), \tag{97}$$

$$\omega^{\underline{89}} = \frac{1}{R}(-2\sqrt{2}\cot\theta_3 e^{\underline{9}} + e^{\underline{\sharp}}), \qquad \omega^{\underline{4\sharp}} = \frac{1}{R}e^{\underline{5}}, \tag{98}$$

$$\omega^{\underline{5\sharp}} = -\frac{1}{R}e^{\underline{4}}, \qquad \omega^{\underline{6\sharp}} = \frac{1}{R}e^{\underline{7}}, \tag{99}$$

$$\omega^{\underline{7\sharp}} = -\frac{1}{R}e^{\underline{6}}, \qquad \omega^{\underline{8\sharp}} = \frac{1}{R}e^{\underline{9}}, \qquad \omega^{\underline{9\sharp}} = -\frac{1}{R}e^{\underline{8}}. \tag{100}$$

The Levi-Civita connection coefficients of the induced metric (40) are

$$\Gamma^{t}{}_{t\rho} = \tanh\rho, \qquad \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{tt} = \sinh\rho\cosh\rho, \tag{101}$$

$$\Gamma^{\theta_1}{}_{\phi_1\phi_1} = -\frac{1}{2}\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_1, \tag{102}$$

$$\Gamma^{\theta_1}{}_{\phi_1\phi_2} = \frac{1}{4}\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_2, \tag{103}$$

$$\Gamma^{\theta_2}{}_{\phi_2\phi_2} = -\frac{1}{2}\sin\theta_2\cos\theta_2, \tag{104}$$

$$\Gamma^{\theta_2}{}_{\phi_1\phi_2} = \frac{1}{4}\sin\theta_2\cos\theta_1, \tag{105}$$

$$\Gamma^{\phi_1}{}_{\theta_1\phi_1} = \frac{\sin 2\theta_1(\cos 2\theta_2 - 7)}{8(\cos 2\theta_1 + \cos 2\theta_2 - 2)}, \tag{106}$$

$$\Gamma^{\phi_1}{}_{\theta_2\phi_1} = -\frac{\cos^2\theta_1 \sin 2\theta_2}{4(\cos 2\theta_1 + \cos 2\theta_2 - 2)}, \tag{107}$$

$$\Gamma^{\phi_1}{}_{\theta_1\phi_2} = -\frac{\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_2(\cos 2\theta_2 - 3)}{4(\cos 2\theta_1 + \cos 2\theta_2 - 2)}, \tag{108}$$

$$\Gamma^{\phi_1}{}_{\theta_2\phi_2} = \frac{\cos\theta_1\sin\theta_2(\cos 2\theta_2 + 5)}{4(\cos 2\theta_1 + \cos 2\theta_2 - 2)}, \tag{109}$$

$$\Gamma^{\phi_2}{}_{\theta_2\phi_2} = \frac{\sin 2\theta_2(\cos 2\theta_1 - 7)}{8(\cos 2\theta_1 + \cos 2\theta_2 - 2)}, \tag{110}$$

$$\Gamma^{\phi_2}{}_{\theta_1\phi_2} = -\frac{\cos^2\theta_2 \sin 2\theta_1}{4(\cos 2\theta_1 + \cos 2\theta_2 - 2)}, \tag{111}$$

$$\Gamma^{\phi_2}{}_{\theta_2\phi_1} = -\frac{\sin\theta_2\cos\theta_1(\cos 2\theta_1 - 3)}{4(\cos 2\theta_1 + \cos 2\theta_2 - 2)}, \tag{112}$$

$$\Gamma^{\phi_2}{}_{\theta_1\phi_1} = \frac{\cos\theta_2\sin\theta_1(\cos 2\theta_1 + 5)}{4(\cos 2\theta_1 + \cos 2\theta_2 - 2)}. \tag{113}$$

The Levi-Civita connection coefficients of the induced metric (50) are

$$\Gamma^{t}{}_{\rho t} = \tanh\rho, \qquad \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{tt} = \cosh\rho\sinh\rho, \tag{114}$$

$$\Gamma^{\theta_1}{}_{\phi_1\phi_1} = -\frac{1}{2}\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_1, \qquad \Gamma^{\theta_1}{}_{\phi_1\psi} = \frac{1}{4}\sin\theta_1, \tag{115}$$

$$\Gamma^{\phi_1}{}_{\phi_1\theta_1} = \frac{3}{4}\cot\theta_1, \qquad \Gamma^{\phi_1}{}_{\theta_1\psi} = -\frac{1}{4\sin\theta_1}, \tag{116}$$

$$\Gamma^{\psi}{}_{\phi_1\theta_1} = -\frac{3}{4}\cot\theta_1\cos\theta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\sin\theta_1, \qquad \Gamma^{\psi}{}_{\theta_1\psi} = \frac{1}{4}\cot\theta_1. \tag{117}$$

The nonzero components of the Christoffel symbol for the metric (63) are

$$\Gamma^{\theta_1}{}_{\phi_1\phi_1} = -\frac{1}{2}\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_1, \qquad \Gamma^{\theta_1}{}_{\phi_1\phi_2} = \frac{1}{4}\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_2, \tag{118}$$

$$\Gamma^{\theta_1}{}_{\phi_1\psi} = \frac{1}{4}\sin\theta_1, \qquad \Gamma^{\phi_1}{}_{\theta_1\phi_1} = \frac{3}{4}\cot\theta_1, \tag{119}$$

$$\Gamma^{\phi_1}{}_{\theta_1\phi_2} = -\frac{1}{4}\csc\theta_1\cos\theta_2, \qquad \Gamma^{\phi_1}{}_{\theta_1\psi} = -\frac{1}{4}\csc\theta_1, \tag{120}$$

$$\Gamma^{\theta_2}{}_{\phi_1\phi_2} = \frac{1}{4}\cos\theta_1\sin\theta_2, \qquad \Gamma^{\theta_2}{}_{\phi_2\phi_2} = -\frac{1}{2}\sin\theta_2\cos\theta_2, \tag{121}$$

$$\Gamma^{\theta_2}{}_{\phi_2\psi} = \frac{1}{4}\sin\theta_2, \qquad \Gamma^{\phi_2}{}_{\phi_1\theta_2} = -\frac{1}{4}\cos\theta_1\sin\theta_2, \tag{122}$$

$$\Gamma^{\phi_2}{}_{\phi_2\theta_2} = \frac{3}{4}\cot\theta_2, \qquad \Gamma^{\phi_2}{}_{\theta_2\psi} = -\frac{1}{4}\csc\theta_2, \tag{123}$$

$$\Gamma^{\psi}{}_{\theta_1\phi_1} = -\frac{1}{8}\csc\theta_1(\cos 2\theta_1 + 5), \qquad \Gamma^{\psi}{}_{\theta_1\phi_2} = \frac{1}{4}\cot\theta_1\cos\theta_2, \tag{124}$$

$$\Gamma^{\psi}{}_{\psi\theta_1} = \frac{1}{4}\cot\theta_1, \qquad \Gamma^{\psi}{}_{\phi_1\theta_2} = \frac{1}{4}\cos\theta_1\cot\theta_2, \tag{125}$$

$$\Gamma^{\psi}{}_{\theta_2\phi_2} = -\frac{1}{8}\csc\theta_2(\cos 2\theta_2 + 5), \qquad \Gamma^{\psi}{}_{\psi\theta_1} = \frac{1}{4}\cot\theta_2. \tag{126}$$

The Christoffel symbols of the reduced metric (75) are

$$\Gamma^{t}{}_{ty} = -\frac{1}{y}, \qquad \Gamma^{x_1}{}_{x_1y} = -\frac{1}{y}, \tag{127}$$

$$\Gamma^{y}{}_{tt} = -\Gamma^{y}{}_{x_1x_1} = -\frac{1}{y(1+f'^2)}, \qquad \Gamma^{y}{}_{yy} = \frac{f'f''}{1+f'^2} - \frac{1}{y}, \tag{128}$$

$$\Gamma^{\theta_1}{}_{\phi_1\phi_1} = -\frac{1}{2}\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_1, \qquad \Gamma^{\theta_1}{}_{\phi_1\psi} = \frac{1}{4}\sin\theta_1, \tag{129}$$

$$\Gamma^{\phi_1}{}_{\phi_1\theta_1} = \frac{3}{4}\cot\theta_1, \qquad \Gamma^{\phi_1}{}_{\theta_1\psi} = -\frac{1}{4\sin\theta_1}, \tag{130}$$

$$\Gamma^{\psi}{}_{\phi_1\theta_1} = -\frac{3}{4}\cot\theta_1\cos\theta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\sin\theta_1, \qquad \Gamma^{\psi}{}_{\theta_1\psi} = \frac{1}{4}\cot\theta_1. \tag{131}$$

References

[1] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, *"$\mathcal{N} = 6$ superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals"*, JHEP **0810** 091 (2008) [arXiv:0806.1218].

[2] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, *"Modeling multiple M2's"*, Phys. Rev. **D 75**, 045020 (2007) [hep-th/0611108].

[3] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, *"Gauge Symmetry and Supersymmetry of Multiple M2-Branes"*, Phys. Rev. **D 77**, 065008 (2008) [arXiv:0711.0955].

[4] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, *"Comments on Multiple M2-branes"*, JHEP **0802**, 105 (2008) [arXiv:0712.3738].

[5] A. Gustavsson, *"Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes"*, Nucl. Phys. **B 811** 66 (2009) [arXiv:0709.1260].

[6] A. Gustavsson, *"One-loop corrections to Bagger-Lambert theory"*, Nucl. Phys. **B 807** 315 (2009) [arXiv:0805.4443].

[7] A. Kapustin, B. Willett and I. Yaakov, *"Exact Results for Wilson Loops in Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories with Matter"*, JHEP **1003**, 089 (2010) [arXiv:0909.4559].

[8] N. Drukker, M. Marino and P. Putrov, *"From weak to strong coupling in ABJM theory"*, Commun. Math. Phys. **306**, 511 (2011) [arXiv:1007.3837].

[9] I. R. Klebanov and A. A. Tseytlin, *"Entropy of near extremal black p-branes"*, Nucl. Phys. **B 475**, 164 (1996) [hep-th/9604089].

[10] B. S. Acharya, J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, C. M. Hull and B. J. Spence, *"Branes at conical singularities and holography"*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 1249 (1999) [hep-th/9808014].

[11] D. R. Morrison and M. R. Plesser, *"Nonspherical horizons. I"*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **3**, 1 (1999) [hep-th/9810201].

[12] K. Hosomichi, K. -M. Lee, S. Lee, S. Lee and J. Park, *"$\mathcal{N} = 5, 6$ Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories and M2-branes on Orbifolds"*, JHEP **0809**, 002 (2008) [arXiv:0806.4977].

[13] O. Aharony, O. Bergman and D. L. Jafferis, *"Fractional M2-branes"*, JHEP **0811**, 043 (2008) [arXiv:0807.4924].

[14] Y. Imamura and K. Kimura, *"On the moduli space of elliptic Maxwell-Chern-Simons theories"*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **120**, 509 (2008) [arXiv:0806.3727].

[15] S. Terashima and F. Yagi, *"Orbifolding the Membrane Action"*, JHEP **0812**, 041 (2008) [arXiv:0807.0368].

[16] M. Benna, I. Klebanov, T. Klose and M. Smedback, *"Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories and AdS_4/CFT_3 Correspondence"*, JHEP **0809**, 072 (2008) [arXiv:0806.1519].

[17] D. Fabbri, P. Fré, L. Gualtieri, C. Reina, A. Tomasiello, A. Zaffaroni and A. Zampa, *"3D superconformal theories from Sasakian seven manifolds: New nontrivial evidences for AdS_4/CFT_3"*, Nucl. Phys. **B 577**, 547 (2000) [hep-th/9907219].

[18] S. Franco, A. Hanany, J. Park and D. Rodriguez-Gomez, *"Towards M2-brane Theories for Generic Toric Sigularities"*, JHEP **0812**, 110 (2008) [arXiv: 0809.3237].

[19] S. Franco, I. R. Klebanov and D. Rodriguez-Gomez, *"M2-branes on Orbifolds of the Cone over $Q^{1,1,1}$"*, JHEP **0908**, 033(2009) [arXiv: 0903.3231].

[20] M. Aganagic, *"A Stringy Origin of M2 Brane Chern-Simons Theories"*, Nucl. Phys. **B 835**, 1 (2010) [arXiv:0905.3415].

[21] F. Benini, C. Closset and S. Cremonesi, *"Chiral flavors and M2-branes at toric CY_4 singularites"*, JHEP **1002**, 036 (2010) [arXiv: 0911.4127].

[22] D. L. Jafferis, *"Quantum corrections to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Chern-Simons theories with flavor and their AdS_4 duals"*, JHEP **1308** 046 (2013) [arXiv: 0911.4324].

[23] S.-J. Rey and J.-T. Yee, *"Macroscopic strings as heavy quarks in large N gauge theory and anti-de Sitter supergravity"*, Eur. Phys. J. **C22** (2001) 379 [hep-th/9803001].

[24] J. M. Maldacena, *"Wilson loops in large N field theories"*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 4859 [hep-th/9803002].

[25] N. Drukker, J. Plefka and D. Young, *"Wilson loops in 3-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetric Chern-Simons Theory and their string theory duals"*, JHEP **0811**, 019 (2008) [arXiv:0809.2787].

[26] S. -J. Rey, T. Suyama and S. Yamaguchi, *"Wilson Loops in Superconformal Chern-Simons Theory and Fundamental Strings in Anti-de Sitter Supergravity Dual"*, JHEP **0903**, 127 (2009) [arXiv: 0809.3786].

[27] D. Gaiotto and X. Yin, *"Notes on superconformal Chern-Simons-Matter theories"*, JHEP **0708**, 056 (2007) [arXiv:0704.3740].

[28] D. Berenstein and D. Trancanelli, *"Three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 6$ SCFT's and their membrane dynamics"*, Phys. Rev. **D 78**, 106009 (2008) [arXiv:0808.2503].

[29] B. Chen and J. -B. Wu, *"Supersymmetric Wilson Loops in $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Super Chern-Simons-matter theory,"* Nucl. Phys. **B 825**, 38 (2010) [arXiv:0809.2863].

[30] N. Drukker and D. Trancanelli, *"A Supermatrix model for $\mathcal{N} = 6$ super Chern-Simons-matter theory"*, JHEP **1002**, 058 (2010) [arXiv:0912.3006].

[31] K. -M. Lee and S. Lee, *"1/2-BPS Wilson Loops and Vortices in ABJM Model"*, JHEP **1009**, 004 (2010) [arXiv:1006.5589].

[32] L. Griguolo, D. Marmiroli, G. Martelloni and D. Seminara, *"The generalized cusp in ABJ(M) $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Super Chern-Simons theories"*, JHEP **1305**, 113 (2013) [arXiv:1208.5766].

[33] V. Cardinali, L. Griguolo, G. Martelloni and D. Seminara, *"New supersymmetric Wilson loops in ABJ(M) theories"*, Phys. Lett. B **718**, 615 (2012) [arXiv:1209.4032].

[34] N. Kim, *"Supersymmetric Wilson loops with general contours in ABJM theory"*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **28**, 1350150 (2013) [arXiv:1304.7660].

[35] D. H. Correa, J. Aguilera-Damia and G. A. Silva, *"Strings in $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$, Wilson loops in $\mathcal{N} = 6$ super Chern-Simons-matter and Bremsstrahlung functions"*, JHEP **1406** (2014) 139 [arXiv:1405.1396].

[36] D. Farquet and J. Sparks, *"Wilson loops and the geometry of matrix models in AdS_4/CFT_3"*, JHEP **1401** (2014) 083 [arXiv:1304.0784].

[37] J. -B. Wu and M. -Q. Zhu, *"BPS M2-branes in $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$ Dual to Loop Operators"*, Phys. Rev. **D89** (2014) 126003 [arXiv:1312.3030].

[38] I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu and T. Tesileanu, *"Membranes with Topological Charge and AdS_4/CFT_3 Correspondence"*, Phys. Rev. **D 81**, 125011 (2010) [arXiv:1004.0413].

[39] N. Benishti, D. Rodriguez-Gomez and J. Sparks, *"Baryonic symmetries and M5-branes in the AdS_4/CFT_3 correspondence"*, JHEP **1007**, 024 (2010) [arXiv:1004.2045].

[40] N. Kim and J. H. Lee, *"Multispin membrane solutions in $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$"*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 26**, 1019 (2011).

[41] R. C. Myers, *"Dielectric-Branes"*, JHEP **9912**, 022 (1999) [hep-th/9910053].

[42] B. Chen, W. He, J. -B. Wu and L. Zhang, *"M5-branes and Wilson Surfaces"*, JHEP **0708**, 067 (2007) [arXiv:0707.3978].

[43] O. Lunin, *"1/2-BPS states in M theory and defects in the dual CFTs"*, JHEP **0710**, 014 (2007) [arXiv:0704.3442].

[44] C. -h. Ahn, *"$\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT and M theory on $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$"*, Phys. Lett. **B 466**, 171 (1999) [hep-th/9908162].

[45] M. Fujita, W. Li, S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, *"Fractional Quantum Hall Effect via Holography: Chern-Simons, Edge States, and Hierarchy"*, JHEP **0906**, 066 (2009) [arXiv:0901.0924].

[46] N. Drukker, J. Gomis and D. Young, *"Vortex Loop Operators, M2-branes and Holography"*, JHEP **0903**, 004 (2009) [arXiv:0810.4344].

[47] P. S. Howe, E. Sezgin and P. C. West, *"Covariant field equations of the M-theory five-brane"*, Phys. Lett. **B 399** (1997) 49 [hep-th/9702008].

[48] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, *"Aspects of the M5-brane"*, hep-th/9902171.

[49] P. S. Howe and E. Sezgin, *"Superbranes"*, Phys. Lett. **B 390** (1997) 133 [hep-th/9607227]; *"$D = 11$, $p = 5$"*, Phys. Lett. **B 394** (1997) 62 [hep-th/9611008].

[50] C. S. Chu and E. Sezgin, *"M-Fivebrane from the open supermembrane"*, JHEP **9712**, 001 (1997) [hep-th/9710223].

[51] M. Cederwall, B. E. W. Nilsson and P. Sundell, *"An action for the super-5-brane in $D = 11$ supergravity"*, JHEP **9804** (1998) 007 [hep-th/9712059].

[52] I. Bandos, K. Lechner, A. Nurmagambetov, P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, *"On the equivalence of different formulations of the M theory Five-brane"*, Phys. Lett. **B 408** 135 (1997) [hep-th/9703127].

[53] D. S. Berman, *"M-theory branes and their interactions"*, Phys. Rept. **456**, 89 (2008) [arXiv:0710.1707].

[54] P. Hoxha, R. R. Martinez-Acosta and C. N. Pope, *"Kaluza-Klein consistency, Killing vectors and Kahler spaces"*, Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 4207 (2000) [hep-th/0005172]

[55] A. Donos, and J. P. Gauntlett, *"Supersymmetric quatum criticality supported by baronic charges"*, JHEP **1210**, 120 (2012) [arXiv: 1208.1494].

[56] S. Yamaguchi, "*AdS branes corresponding to superconformal defects*", JHEP **0306**, 002 (2003) [hep-th/0305007].

[57] B. Chen, *"The Self-dual String Soliton in $AdS_4 \times S^7$ spacetime"*, Eur. Phys. J. **C 54**, 489 (2008) [arXiv:0710.2593].

[58] M. Fujita, *"M5-brane defect and quantum Hall effect in $AdS_4 \times N(1,1)/\mathcal{N} = 3$ superconformal field theory"*, Phys. Rev. **D 83**, 105016 (2011) [arXiv:1011.0154].